

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION (CONSTRUCTION)	1. CONTRACT NUMBER DACA51-97-C-0013
	2. CEC NUMBER

IMPORTANT: Be sure to complete Part III - Evaluation of Performance Elements on reverse.

PART I - GENERAL CONTRACT DATA

3. TYPE OF EVALUATION (X one) <input type="checkbox"/> INTERIM (List percentage _____%) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FINAL <input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED	4. TERMINATED FOR DEFAULT <input type="checkbox"/>
5. CONTRACTOR (Name, Address, and ZIP Code) Structural Concepts, Inc. 1305 S. Roller Road P. O. Box 2129 Ocean, New Jersey 07712	6. a. PROCUREMENT METHOD (X one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SEALED BID <input type="checkbox"/> NEGOTIATED b. TYPE OF CONTRACT (X one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FIRM FIXED PRICE <input type="checkbox"/> COST REIMBURSEMENT <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (Specify)

7. DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION OF WORK
 The scope of work included the complete demolition of all interior spaces of building no. 206; creation of new office spaces; alteration/upgrade of interior electric and heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC). Modification of the existing fire protection and alarm systems; provide networking and building information systems; Provide supporting facilities that included upgrade water, electric, and air conditioning, asbestos abatement, resurface of adjacent parking area, and provision for handicapped access.

8. TYPE AND PERCENT OF SUBCONTRACTING
 Demolition (Iron work; Interior finishes, painting & carpeting)
 HVAC
 Electric Subcontracting approximately 80%
 Plumbing
 Masonry
 Paving


9. FISCAL DATA	a. AMOUNT OF BASIC CONTRACT \$ 1,915,000.00	b. TOTAL AMOUNT OF MODIFICATIONS \$ 274,500.00	c. LIQUIDATED DAMAGES ASSESSED \$ 0.00	d. NET AMOUNT PAID CONTRACTOR \$ 2,189,500.00
10. SIGNIFICANT DATES	a. DATE OF AWARD 12 February 1997	b. ORIGINAL CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE 29 April 1998	c. REVISED CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE 18 May 1999	d. DATE WORK ACCEPTED 8 December 1998

PART II - PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF CONTRACTOR


11. OVERALL RATING (X appropriate block)

<input type="checkbox"/> OUTSTANDING	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ABOVE AVERAGE	<input type="checkbox"/> SATISFACTORY	<input type="checkbox"/> MARGINAL	<input type="checkbox"/> UNSATISFACTORY (Explain in Item 20 on reverse)
--------------------------------------	---	---------------------------------------	-----------------------------------	---

12. EVALUATED BY

a. ORGANIZATION (Name and Address (Include ZIP Code)) Southern New Jersey Area Office Building 678, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703	b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) 732-389-3040
c. NAME AND TITLE Gerald P. Byrne, P.E. Area Engineer	d. SIGNATURE 
	e. DATE 02 Jan 02

13. EVALUATION REVIEWED BY

a. ORGANIZATION (Name and Address (Include ZIP Code)) U.S. Army Engineer District, New York 26 Federal Plaza, New York, NY 10278	b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) 212-264-4654
c. NAME AND TITLE John C. Sasson, P.E. Acting Chief, Construction Division	d. SIGNATURE 
	e. DATE 8 Jan 02

14. AGENCY USE (Distribution, etc.)

PART III - EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE ELEMENTS

N/A - NOT APPLICABLE	O - OUTSTANDING		A - ABOVE AVERAGE			S - SATISFACTORY		M - MARGINAL		U - UNSATISFACTORY		
	N/A	O	A	S	M	U	N/A	O	A	S	M	U
15. QUALITY CONTROL						16. EFFECTIVENESS OF MANAGEMENT						
a. QUALITY OF WORKMANSHIP			X				a. COOPERATION AND RESPONSIVENESS			X		
b. ADEQUACY OF THE CQC PLAN			X				b. MANAGEMENT OF RESOURCES/ PERSONNEL			X		
c. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CQC PLAN			X				c. COORDINATION AND CONTROL OF SUBCONTRACTOR(S)			X		
d. QUALITY OF QC DOCUMENTATION			X				d. ADEQUACY OF SITE CLEAN-UP			X		
e. STORAGE OF MATERIALS			X				e. EFFECTIVENESS OF JOB-SITE SUPERVISION			X		
f. ADEQUACY OF MATERIALS			X				f. COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS AND REGULATIONS			X		
g. ADEQUACY OF SUBMITTALS			X				g. PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT			X		
h. ADEQUACY OF QC TESTING			X				h. REVIEW / RESOLUTION OF SUBCONTRACTOR'S ISSUES			X		
i. ADEQUACY OF AS-BUILTS				X			i. IMPLEMENTATION OF SUBCONTRACTING PLAN			X		
j. USE OF SPECIFIED MATERIALS			X				18. COMPLIANCE WITH LABOR STANDARDS					
k. IDENTIFICATION / CORRECTION OF DEFICIENT WORK IN A TIMELY MANNER			X				a. CORRECTION OF NOTED DEFICIENCIES			X		
17. TIMELY PERFORMANCE						19. COMPLIANCE WITH SAFETY STANDARDS						
a. ADEQUACY OF INITIAL PROGRESS SCHEDULE			X				a. ADEQUACY OF SAFETY PLAN			X		
b. ADHERENCE TO APPROVED SCHEDULE			X				b. IMPLEMENTATION OF SAFETY PLAN			X		
c. RESOLUTION OF DELAYS							c. CORRECTION OF NOTED DEFICIENCIES			X		
d. SUBMISSION OF REQUIRED DOCUMENTATION			X				20. REMARKS (Explanation of unsatisfactory evaluation is required. Other comments are optional. Provide facts concerning specific events or actions to justify the evaluation. These data must be in sufficient detail to assist contracting officers in determining the contractor's responsibility. Continue on separate sheet(s), if needed.)					
e. COMPLETION OF PUNCHLIST ITEMS			X									
f. SUBMISSION OF UPDATED AND REVISED PROGRESS SCHEDULES			X									
g. WARRANTY RESPONSE												